

Patient self-report vs. medical records for monitoring cardiovascular conditions in patients with hypercholesterolemia

H. Englert, J.Mueller-Nordhorn, S. Seewald, F. Sonntag, H. Voeller, W.
Meyer-Sabellek, K. Wegscheider, E. Windler, H. Katus, S. Willich

Institute for Social Medicine, Epidemiology and Health Economics
Charité – University Medical Center, Berlin, Germany
www.charite.de/epidemiologie

Slide 1

HE1

Sollen wir hier die ganzen Institute eingeben - was meinst Du?

Heike Englert; 7/8/2008

Background

Major challenge in epidemiological research:

- ensuring the quality of the raw data generated for analysis

Epidemiological studies often rely on:

- self-administered questionnaires
- patient self-reports

Objectives

- To what extent do patient self-reports accurately reflect the presence or absence of specific types of cardiovascular conditions?
- Do certain patient characteristics such as:
 - age and gender
 - education level
 - Overall health and choice of individual general practitioner

influence the accuracy of self-reports on cardiovascular conditions as compared to medical records?

Methods

➤ Part of the ORBITAL project

- Epidemiological, randomized, multicenter, open-label, parallel-group study
- April to November 2002 in Germany
- Inclusion Criteria:
 - men and women \geq 18 years of age
 - diagnosed hypercholesterolemia with an indication for treatment according to the Second Joint European Guidelines

Methods

- **Medical records consisted of:**
 - Medical history (including cardiovascular diseases)
 - Use of lipid lowering drugs and/or other medication
 - History of invasive cardiac procedures over the last three months
 - Physical examination: weight, height and fasting blood sample to assess blood lipids
 - No inquiry was made about the frequency of consultations with other doctors, school degree or employment status.

Methods

- **Patient self-reports consisted of:**
 - Socio-demographics
 - Overall health (history of myocardial infarction, angina, stroke, hyperlipidemia, hypertension, diabetes, cardiac arrhythmia, heart failure)
 - History of invasive cardiac procedures
 - Medication use over the past 4 weeks
 - Use of further medical resources (e.g. frequency of consultations)
 - Compliance with medication, lipid levels etc.

Demographics

	Men	Women
Age	60 ± 10	64 ± 10
Single and living alone (%)	12	30
Education >10 years (%)	21	10
Actively employed (%)	41	20
Type of employment		
mainly physical	56	56
mainly sitting	42	38
never worked	0	2
Questionnaires were filled out (%)		
by the patient	74	70
with assistance of a close person	22	25
of a close person only	2	3

History of Disease

	Prevalence physician %	Prevalence patient %	Agreement %	Kappa CI low/high	Jaccard CI low/high
Family History MI	22	20	86	0.57 0.54/0.61	49 47/52
MI	8	17	90	0.55 0.51/0.59	43 40/46
Angina	1	17	83	0.04 -0.01/0.09	3 2/4
Stroke	3	4	96	0.44 0.35/0.53	30 25/35
Hypertension	62	56	85	0.69 0.66/0.71	77 76/78
Diabetes	23	20	96	0.89 0.86/0.92	84 82/86
Cardiac arrhythmia	2	7	94	0.3 0.22/0.38	19 16/23
Heart failure	3	4	95	0.29 0.20/0.38	19 15/23
CHD	71	69	86	0.66 0.63/0.68	81 80/82

History of Disease

	Under- reporting %	Over- reporting %
Family History MI	8	6
MI	1	10
Angina	1	17
Stroke	1	2
Hypertension	10	5
Diabetes	3	1
Cardiac arrhythmia	0.3	6
Heart failure	2	3
Coronary Heart Disease	8	6

Factors associated with over- /underreporting

	Male gender	Age	Education level	Health Status	Individual general practitioner
MI underreporting overreporting	n.s. +277%***	n.s. +17%***	n.s. n.s.	n.s. -13%***	43%** 29%***
Stroke underreporting overreporting	n.s. +41%***	n.s. +21%**	n.s. n.s.	n.s. -12%*	n.s. +19%***
Hypertension underreporting overreporting	n.s. +52%***	+27%*** -11%**	-14%*** n.s.	n.s. -10%*	8%** 16%***
Diabetes underreporting overreporting	n.s. n.s.	n.s. n.s.	n.s. n.s.	n.s. n.s.	18%*** 22%***
Cardiac arrhythmia underreporting overreporting	n.s. +26%**	n.s. +44%***	n.s. +7%*	n.s. -23%***	n.s. +14%***

Limitations

- **Applicability to patients with hypercholesterolemia**
- **Assessment of validity**
 - medical record may not be considered as a gold standard

Strength

- **A total of 7640 patients were enrolled randomly by 1961 general practitioners centers**
- **Missing data were completed based on personal interviews**
 - which then facilitated a high response rate

Conclusions

- **Certain diagnosis e.g. diabetes are highly accurate**
 - self-reported diagnosis are reliable
- **Other diagnosis e.g. cardiac arrhythmia or heart failure have low agreement**
 - self-reported diagnosis are unreliable

Conclusions

- **Potential limitations of self-report**
 - especially for diseases who require less monitoring and or medication
 - additional data sources such as medical records are required

Conclusions

- **However, a number of patient characteristics are associated with over-/underreporting such as:**
 - male gender
 - age
 - choice of individual general practitioner
- **Considering patient characteristics for more specific and diagnostically complex conditions**

Acknowledgement

- **AstraZeneca**
- **... all participants**